

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.	09/751,748	
Examiner	HUEDPOHL ET AL.	
William M. Treat	Art Unit 2183	

**All Participants:**(1) William M. Treat.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James W. Huffman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 August 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***none***Claims discussed:***none***Prior art documents discussed:***none***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative when speaking with the examiner told the examiner he had inadvertently written the wrong month (i.e., June) down when filling out the express mail information for the RCE he submitted on 7/28/04. The examiner indicated that he would note the matter in an interview summary record but did not consider it to be a problem..